Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/950,963	DREWES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BJ Forman	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>BJ Forman</u> .	(3) Kelly Moore.	
(2) Barry Wilson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 November 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  all  Prior art documents discussed:		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the	interview, since the interview
BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested amendments to overcome 112 rejections. The examiner also stated that a Terminal Disclaimer is necessary because the instant claims are an obvious embodiment of the 6,933,112 patent in view of the abstract. The examiner further stated that claims 39-50 are not allowable over the prior art provided in the IDS of 6/05. The examiner and Mr. Wilson agreed that amendments to overcome the 112 issues, cancellation of Claims 39-50 and a Terminal Disclaimer would place the pending claims in condition for allowance. The necessary papers are to be filed as a supplemental amendment.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER